

## Suggested Solution 5

1. We summarize the properties of the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_k |C_k| : E \subset \bigcup_k C_k, C_k \text{ closed cubes} \right\} .$$

We have

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}^n(C) = 1$  for every unit cube  $C$  (open or closed).
- (b)  $\mathcal{L}^n$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure.
- (c)  $\mathcal{L}^n$  is finite on bounded sets.
- (d) For every measurable  $E$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E) = \inf \{ \mathcal{L}^n(G) : E \subset G, G \text{ open} \};$$

$$\mathcal{L}^n(E) = \sup \{ \mathcal{L}^n(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact} \} .$$

- (e) Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself. For each measurable  $E$ ,  $T(E)$  is also measurable and there is some constant  $C_T$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}^n(T(E)) = C_T \mathcal{L}^n(E) .$$

(a)-(d) were covered in previous exercises. Prove (e).

**Solution.** You are referred Proposition 3.2 in my notes or Theorem 2.20 (e) in [R].

2. Let  $\Phi$  be a Lipschitz continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is, for some  $L > 0$ ,

$$|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq L|x - y| , \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n .$$

Show that  $\Phi(E)$  is measurable if  $E$  is (Lebesgue) measurable.

**Solution.** Assume that  $E$  is compact first. As the image of a compact set under a continuous map is again compact and so is Borel, we see that  $\mathcal{L}^n(E)$  is also compact, hence measurable. Next, let  $E$  be a bounded measurable set. By inner regularity we can find a set  $F \subset E$  which is the countable union of compact sets satisfying  $\mathcal{L}^n(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

Hence the set  $N = E \setminus F$  is null and  $\Phi(E) = \Phi(F) \cup \Phi(N)$ . We have  $\Phi(F) = \bigcup_j \Phi(K_j)$  where  $K_j$  are compact, so  $\Phi(F)$  is Borel (hence measurable). Therefore, things boil down to show that the image of a null set under a Lipschitz map is a null set. To show that  $\Phi(U)$  is of  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -measure zero if  $U$  is, by the propositions in lecture notes Ch3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^n(\Phi(U)) &\ll \mathcal{H}^n(\Phi(U)) && \text{(Proposition 3.10)} \\ &\ll \mathcal{H}^n(U) && \text{(Proposition 3.11)} \\ &\ll \mathcal{L}^n(U) && \text{(Proposition 3.10)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and " $f \ll g$ " means for given  $f, g \geq 0$ , there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that  $f \leq Cg$ .

Finally, we can write a measurable set as the countable union of bounded, measurable sets.

3. This problem is related to the  $\sigma$ -finiteness condition in Proposition 2.10. Define the distance between points  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  in the plane to be

$$|y_1 - y_2| \quad \text{if } x_1 = x_2, \quad 1 + |y_1 - y_2| \quad \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2.$$

Show that this is indeed a metric, and that the resulting metric space  $X$  is locally compact.

If  $f \in C_c(X)$ , let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be those values of  $x$  for which  $f(x, y) \neq 0$  for at least one  $y$  (there are only finitely many such  $x$ !), and define

$$\Lambda f = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j, y) dy.$$

Let  $\mu$  be the measure associated with this  $\Lambda$  by the representation theorem. If  $E$  is the  $x$ -axis, show that  $\mu(E) = \infty$  although  $\mu(K) = 0$  for every compact  $K \subset E$ .

**Solution.** Write  $p_i = (x_i, y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Denote

$$d(p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} |y_1 - y_2|, & x_1 = x_2, \\ 1 + |y_1 - y_2|, & x_1 \neq x_2. \end{cases}$$

We prove that  $d$  is a metric.

- $d(p_1, p_2) \geq 0$  and  $d(p_1, p_2) = 0$  if and only if  $p_1 = p_2$ .
- $d(p_1, p_2) = d(p_2, p_1)$ .

- $d(p_1, p_2) \leq d(p_1, p_3) + d(p_3, p_2)$  holds because  $|y_1 - y_2| \leq |y_1 - y_3| + |y_3 - y_2|$ .

Now we claim that  $(X, \tau)$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let  $\tau_1$  be the discrete topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so every singleton  $\{x\}$  is an open set. Then every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  has the compact set  $\{x\}$  as a neighborhood, so that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1)$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Note that  $(X, \tau) = (\mathbb{R}, \tau_1) \times (\mathbb{R}, \tau_2)$ , where  $\tau_2$  is the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The claim follows. If  $K$  is compact in  $X$ , the first projection  $\text{pr}_1(K)$  is compact in  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1)$ . Hence it is a finite set. Therefore  $K$  is a finite union

$$\{x_1\} \times K_1 \cup \cdots \cup \{x_n\} \times K_n,$$

where each  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is a compact set in  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_2)$ .

If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has compact support, then  $\text{spt } f \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$\Lambda f = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_j, y) dy$$

defines a positive linear functional on  $C_c(X)$ .

By the proof of Riesz's representation theorem, the measure  $\mu$  defined by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(V) &= \sup_{K \subset V \text{ compact}} \mu(K) = \sup_{f \prec V} \Lambda f, \\ \mu(E) &= \inf_{V \supset E \text{ open}} \mu(V) \end{aligned}$$

is a representing measure for  $\Lambda$ . Using the second equality with the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we observe that

$$\mu(\{x\} \times K) = m(K).$$

Thus  $\mu$  is characterized by the identity

$$\mu(\{x\} \times [a, b]) = b - a, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $V$  be an open set containing  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ . Then for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x, 0) \in V$ , so that there exists an  $\varepsilon_x > 0$  with

$$\{x\} \times [-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x] \subset V.$$

This implies that there must be an  $n$  with uncountably many  $\varepsilon_x \geq 1/n$ . (Otherwise,  $\varepsilon_x \geq 1/n$  for at most countably many  $x$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.)

Let

$$K_x = \{x\} \times \left[-\frac{\varepsilon_x}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon_x}{2}\right], \quad \varepsilon_x \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

For  $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^m K_{x_j}$ , we have  $\mu(K) \geq \frac{m}{n}$ . Hence, if  $V \supset \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  is open, then  $\mu(V) \geq$

$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{m}{n} = \infty$ . This implies  $\mu(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) = \infty$ .

Now if  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , then  $K = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \times \{0\}$ , which implies  $\mu(K) = 0$ .

Therefore for  $E = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ ,  $\mu(E) = \infty$  while  $\sup_{K \subset E \text{ compact}} \mu(K) = 0$ . This means that  $\mu$  is not inner regular.

4. Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all compact  $K$ . Show that  $\mu$  is the restriction of some Riesz measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Solution.** First prove that if  $\mu$  is a Borel measure and  $\mu_\Lambda$  is a Riesz measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mu(G) = \mu_\Lambda(G)$  for all open sets  $G$ , then  $\mu$  coincides with  $\mu_\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Proposition 2.10, there exists an open set  $G$  and a closed set  $F$  with  $F \subset E \subset G$  such that  $\mu_\Lambda(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $G$  and  $G \setminus F$  are open,  $\mu$  and  $\mu_\Lambda$  coincide on them, and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Lambda(E) &= \mu_\Lambda(G) - \mu_\Lambda(G \setminus E) \geq \mu_\Lambda(G) - \mu_\Lambda(G \setminus F) = \mu(G) - \mu(G \setminus F) \\ &\geq \mu(E) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By changing the position of  $\mu_\Lambda$  and  $\mu$ , one has

$$\mu(E) - \varepsilon \leq \mu_\Lambda(E) \leq \mu(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has  $\mu_\Lambda(E) = \mu(E)$ .

Now it suffices to show both measures coincide on open sets. For  $f \in C_c$ , define the linear functional by

$$\Lambda f = \int f d\mu.$$

As  $\mu$  is finite on compact sets, this is a well-defined and obviously a positive functional.

By the representation theorem there is a Riesz measure  $\mu_\Lambda$  such that

$$\int f d\mu = \int f d\mu_\Lambda, \quad \forall f \in C^c(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

For any open set  $G$ , we can find an ascending sequence of compact sets  $\{K_n\}$  such that  $G = \bigcup_n K_n$ . Let  $f_n$  satisfy  $K_n < f_n < G$  so that  $f_n$  increases to  $\chi_G$  pointwisely. By Lebesgue monotone convergence we get

$$\mu(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_n d\mu_\Lambda = \mu_\Lambda(G) .$$